Dear FCCThis letter is in response to the NOI for GN Docket No. 07-45.

If you bear with me I will relate my experiences with the lack of true 'advanced telecommunications capability' in a semi-rural area. I currently live @ 4 miles (as the crow flies) from Buffalo, MN in Wright County. Wright County is @ 40 miles NW of Minneapolis, MN. Though much of the area in which I live is considered rural Wright County is one of the fastest growing counties in MN. When I obtained my first computer, @ 1998, the only option that I knew of for Internet access was a dial up account. It was

brutal waiting for pages to load and could hardly even be considered 'internet access'.

In early 2000 we obtained a Hughes (then DirecPC) satellite system for Internet access. This original system was 'one way'. This meant that it used a dial up modem to access the satellite and the return came from the satellite. The download speeds back then were excellent, by satellite standards, often exceeding 1200 kbps. The upload speeds were still poor though, rarely exceeding 16 kbps. Bad weather also degrades, or totally knocks out, access to the Internet when using satellite technology. For years I continued to hope for true broadband.

In mid 2005 it seemed that my wait was over. Upon visiting Qwest's website it seemed that DSL had finally come to my area. I called and immediately ordered 1.5 Mbps service. Upon obtaining and installing the equipment I still did not have service. Qwest dispatched one of their workers to assess the problem. As it turned out I could not obtain DSL, contrary to Qwest's assurances, as I was too far from the 'switch'. As I understand it, you have to be within 20,000 feet and I was more in the neighborhood of 26,000. This led to an exchange of letters with Qwest Customer Service to try to understand the situation and see when DSL might become available. Unfortunately I came away knowing little more then when I started. I inquired about what was required before Qwest brought DSL into an area and I was told that this was proprietary information. They also did not

have any estimate when DSL might be an option for me.

Next I visited Charter Communications, the cable provider in Buffalo. They were quite helpful and came out to assess the area where I live.

Once again this led to disappointment. It seems that they are limited to running cable only into areas that have at least 40 homes. My immediate area has only 14.

Next I contacted Buffalo Wireless Internet Group. They have a wireless Internet network in the city of Buffalo. They came out and found that the signal was too weak to guarantee acceptable service.

I then spent the next year waiting on another wireless network being expanded in Monticello, MN, a town @ 10 miles from my location. They were hoping to have a range of @ 36 miles. My wait turned out to be for naught. Once again the signal was inadequate.

So last month I took the plunge. I spent @ \$450 to buy, and have installed, some used upgraded satellite equipment. This is the Hughes DW7000. For the first time in many years I do not have to tie up the phone line while I am on the web. Unfortunately I do not feel that satellite Internet can be considered true broadband. I currently have a consumer account that limits my download speeds to a maximum of 700 kbps and an upload that will not exceed 128 kbps. Satellite also has what the call their Fair Access Policy (FAP). This is the maximum usage, up and down, that a subscriber is allowed in a 24-hour period. On the consumer plan my FAP is 200MB. If I should exceed this my download speeds would drop to almost nothing for @ the next 24 hours. I could pay more and get slightly higher speeds and a larger FAP 'bucket' but I figure \$59.99 is enough (cable would be about the same cost but with up to 6 Mbps and DSL would be about half that for 3 Mbps, both without a FAP).

With satellite technology I know that I will never download a TV show I missed (usually @ 300-350 MBs) or the many pod casts on a variety of subjects I would like to watch. Streaming these is also out of the question due to the FAP. Also with satellite, the possibility of Internet gaming is negligible. Due to the lag (time to get up to the satellite and back down to a server) the action would move past me before I knew it was happening. I am 58 years old but would still like to experience this. VOIP is also out of the question, once again due to the lag. Doesn't sound like true broadband, does it?

So here I sit living in the country. As it stands now I can look out my front door and see a home that has access to wireless Internet. I can take a 20-minute walk to the SE and see where DSL ends or I can walk to the NW for 20 minutes and see where cable ends. To add insult to injury, I can walk 5 minutes to the north and be in an area that is not serviced by Qwest. They can get DSL. Somehow it just doesn't seem fair. But I do love living in the country. I just wish we had the options that the majority of the rest of the country seems to have.

I thank you for your time.

Roy A Elliott 5185 Clementa Ave NW Buffalo, MN 55313

-----=_NextPart_000_0022_01C792F7.0E36D380

Content-Type: text/html;
 charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html;
 charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>ECFS - E-mail

Filing
&It;PROCEEDING>07-21
&It;DATE>5/10/07
&It;NAME>R
oy A.

Elliott
<ADDRESS1>5185 Clementa Ave

NW
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Buffalo
<STATE>MN
<Z IP>55313
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE>CO
<PHONE-NUMBER>763-682-1448
<D ESCRIPTION>Email

comment
<CONTACT-EMAIL>whocares@hughes.net
<TEXT>Dear

FCC
This letter is in response to the NOI for GN Docket No.

07-45.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>If you bear with me I will relate my

experiences with the lack of true =91advanced telecommunications capability=92 in a

semi-rural area.

I currently live @ 4 miles (as

the crow flies) from Buffalo, MN in Wright County. Wright County is @ 40 miles

NW of Minneapolis, MN. Though

much of the area in which I live is considered rural Wright County is one of the

fastest growing counties in MN.

When I obtained my first computer, @ 1998, the only option that I knew of

for Internet access was a dial up account. It was </DIV>
<DIV>brutal waiting for pages to load and could

hardly even be considered =91internet access=92. </DIV> <DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>In early 2000 we obtained a Hughes (then

DirecPC) satellite system for Internet access. This original system was =91one

way=92. This meant that it used a

dial up modem to access the satellite and the return came from the satellite.

The download speeds back then

were excellent, by satellite standards, often exceeding 1200 kbps.

The

upload speeds were still poor though, <FONT

```
face=3D"Courier New">rarely exceeding 16 kbps. Bad weather also
degrades, or
totally knocks out, access to the Internet when using satellite
</FONT></STRONG><STRONG><FONT face=3D"Courier New">technology. For years
continued to hope for true broadband. </FONT></STRONG></DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><STRONG><FONT face=3D"Courier New">In mid 2005 it seemed that my
wait was
over. Upon visiting Qwest=92s website it seemed that DSL had finally
come to my
area. I </FONT></STRONG><STRONG><FONT face=3D"Courier New">called and
immediately
ordered 1.5 Mbps service. Upon obtaining and installing the equipment I
still
did not have service. </FONT></STRONG><STRONG><FONT face=3D"Courier
New">Qwest
dispatched one of their workers to assess the problem. As it turned out
I could
not obtain DSL, contrary to Qwest=92s </FONT></STRONG><STRONG><FONT
face=3D"Courier New">assurances, as I was too far from the =91switch=92.
As I
understand it, you have to be within 20,000 feet and I was more in the
</FONT></STRONG><STRONG><FONT face=3D"Courier New">neighborhood of
26,000. This
led to an exchange of letters with Qwest Customer Service to try to
understand
the situation and </FONT></STRONG><STRONG><FONT face=3D"Courier New">see
when DSL
might become available. Unfortunately I came away knowing little more
then when
I started. I inquired about what </FONT></STRONG><STRONG><FONT
face=3D"Courier New">was required before Qwest brought DSL into an area
and I was
told that this was proprietary information. They also did not
</FONT></STRONG></DIV>
<DIV><STRONG><FONT face=3D"Courier New">have any estimate when DSL might
be an
```

option for me. </DIV>

```
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
```

<DIV>Next I visited Charter

Communications, the

cable provider in Buffalo. They were quite helpful and came out to

assess the

area where I live.

Once again

this led to disappointment. It seems that they are limited to running cable only

into areas that have at least

40 homes. My immediate area has only 14. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Next I contacted Buffalo

Wireless Internet

Group. They have a wireless Internet network in the city of Buffalo.

They came

out </DIV>

<DIV>and found that the signal was

too weak to

guarantee acceptable service. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>I then spent the next year

waiting on another wireless network being expanded

another wireless network being expanded in Monticello, MN, a town @ 10 miles

from my location.

They were

hoping to have a range of @ 36 miles. My wait turned out to be for

naught. Once

again the signal was <FONT

face=3D"Courier New">inadequate. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>So last month I took the plunge.

I spent @

\$450 to buy, and have installed, some used upgraded satellite equipment.

This is

the Hughes DW7000.

For the

first time in many years I do not have to tie up the phone line while I am on

the web. <FONT face=3D"Courier

New">Unfortunately I do not

feel that satellite Internet can be considered true broadband. I

currently have

a consumer account <FONT face=3D"Courier

New">that limits

my download speeds to a maximum of 700 kbps and an upload that will not exceed

128 kbps. Satellite also has what the call their Fair Access Policy (FAP). This is the maximum

usage, up and down, that a subscriber is allowed in a 24-hour

period. On the consumer plan my

FAP is 200MB. If I should exceed this my download speeds would drop to almost

nothing for @ the next 24

hours. I could pay more and get slightly higher speeds and a larger FAP =91bucket=92

but I figure \$59.99 is enough (cable would be about the same cost but with up to 6 Mbps and

DSL would be about half that for 3 Mbps, both without a

FAP).</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>With satellite technology I know that I

will never download a TV show I missed (usually @ 300-350 MBs) or the many pod

casts on a variety of subjects

I would like to watch. Streaming these is also out of the question due to the

FAP. Also with satellite, the

possibility of Internet gaming is negligible. Due to the lag (time to

```
get up to
```

the satellite and back down to <FONT

face=3D"Courier New">a server) the action would move past me before I

knew it was

happening. I am 58 years old but would still like to experience

this. VOIP is also

out of the

question, once again due to the lag. Doesn=92t sound like true

broadband, does it?

</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>So here I sit living in the

country.

As it stands now I can look out my front door and see a home that has

access to

wireless Internet. I

can take a

20-minute walk to the SE and see where DSL ends or I can walk to the NW

for 20

minutes and see where

cable

ends. To add insult to injury, I can walk 5 minutes to the north and be

in an

area that is not serviced by <FONT

face=3D"Courier New">Qwest. They can get DSL. Somehow it just doesn=92t

seem fair.

But I do love living in the country. I just wish we had the

</DIV>

<DIV>options that the majority of the

rest of

the country seems to have. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>I thank you for your

time.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>
Roy A Elliott
5185 Clementa Ave NW
Buffalo, MN

55313</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0022_01C792F7.0E36D380--